| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | 09/751,780 | MOORE ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Elizabeth Quan | 1743 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | |
| (1) Elizabeth Quan. | (3) | |
| (2) Marc Karish. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>3/12/2004</u> | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: | ant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: N/A | | , |
| Claims discussed: 1,4-7,9,13,14, 24 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS | S DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar | e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the | interview since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant | /Applicant's Representative Sig | Inature – if appropriato) |
| - , (topiositie | , paramet copresentative Of | mature – ii appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner notified Attorney that claims 4-7, 9, 13, and 14 will be indicated as allowable subject matter and claim 24 will be allowed, such that if the subject matter of claims 4-7, 9, 13, and 14 and respective intervening claims were incorporated into claim 1 the application would be in condition for allowance. Attorney notified Examiner that it would not be possible to give me an answer in the suggested time frame and urged the Examiner to issue an Office Action..